

On Typed Lambda Definability and Normalization by Evaluation

Andreas Abel¹

¹Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Chalmers and Gothenburg University, Sweden

IFIP 1.3 Meeting 2018
Royal Holloway University, London, UK
6 July 2018

Strong Typing

- Typing allows correct instruction selection (compilation).
- Typing prevents basic runtime errors.

Well-typed programs don't go wrong.
(Robin Milner, 1978)

- But there is more...

Free Theorems from Typing

- Reynolds 1974, Wadler 1989: Theorems for free!
Polymorphic functions preserve all relations.
- Free theorems from linearity:

Theorem

*Every function $\text{List } A \rightarrow \text{List } A$ is a permutation.
(For an abstract type A .)*

- Free theorems proven by logical relations.
- What about free theorems from simple typing?

Theorem

In STLC with the only constants $\text{true}, \text{false} : \text{Bool}$, boolean negation is not definable.

STLC-Definability

- *Given a function f of some type, is it definable in STLC?*
(Replace simply-typed lambda calculus (STLC) by your favorite type theory.)
- Extended question: Can we decide whether f is STLC-definable?
- Trivial answer to original question:

$$f \text{ STLC-definable} \iff \exists t. (t) = f$$

- Modified question: Can we characterize the STLC-definable functions without referencing STLC-syntax?

A Universe of Types

- To talk about typed functions, we need a language of types.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \iota & : \text{Base} & \text{base type} \\ S, T, U & : \text{Ty} & ::= \iota \mid U \Rightarrow T \quad \text{simple type hierarchy} \end{array}$$

- Interpretation $\llbracket - \rrbracket : \text{Ty} \rightarrow \text{Set}$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \llbracket \iota \rrbracket & = \textit{parameter} \\ \llbracket U \Rightarrow T \rrbracket & = \llbracket U \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket T \rrbracket \quad \text{full (meta-theoretic) function space} \end{array}$$

- Constants $c : T_c$ need to satisfy $\llbracket c \rrbracket : \llbracket T_c \rrbracket$.

Contexts

- Types of argument lists (contexts).

$$\begin{array}{l} \Gamma, \Delta : \text{Cxt} ::= \emptyset \quad \text{empty context} \\ \quad \quad \quad | \Gamma.U \quad \text{context extension} \end{array}$$

- Interpretation $\llbracket _ \rrbracket : \text{Cxt} \rightarrow \text{Set}$.

$$\begin{array}{l} \llbracket \emptyset \rrbracket = 1 \quad \text{unit set} \\ \llbracket \Gamma.U \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \times \llbracket U \rrbracket \quad \text{cartesian product} \end{array}$$

Contexts as Worlds

- Context thinning $\Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Delta$.

$$\frac{}{\text{id}_\Gamma : \Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma} \quad \frac{\tau : \Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Delta}{\text{weak}_U \tau : \Gamma.U \twoheadrightarrow \Delta} \quad \frac{\tau : \Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Delta}{\text{lift}_U \tau : \Gamma.U \twoheadrightarrow \Delta.U}$$

- Makes the *category of contexts and order-preserving embeddings*.
- Interpretation $\langle _ \rangle : (\Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Delta) \rightarrow \langle \Gamma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \Delta \rangle$ as sublist projection.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{id}_\Gamma \rangle &= \text{id}_{\langle \Gamma \rangle} & : \langle \Gamma \rangle &\rightarrow \langle \Gamma \rangle \\ \langle \text{weak}_U \tau \rangle &= \langle \tau \rangle \circ \pi_1 & : \langle \Gamma.U \rangle &\rightarrow \langle \Delta \rangle \\ \langle \text{lift}_U \tau \rangle &= \langle \tau \rangle \times \text{id}_{\langle U \rangle} & : \langle \Gamma.U \rangle &\rightarrow \langle \Delta.U \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Kripke predicates in the world of contexts

- Predicate $f \in \llbracket T \rrbracket_{\Gamma}$ on $f : (\Gamma) \rightarrow (T)$ needs to satisfy
 - ① (Monotonicity:) If $f \in \llbracket T \rrbracket_{\Gamma}$ and $\tau : \Delta \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$ then $f \circ (\tau) \in \llbracket T \rrbracket_{\Delta}$.
 - ② (Kripke function space:) $f \in \llbracket U \rightarrow T \rrbracket_{\Gamma}$ iff $f \cdot d \in \llbracket T \rrbracket_{\Delta}$ for all $\tau : \Delta \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$ and $d \in \llbracket U \rrbracket_{\Delta}$.
Herein: $(f \cdot d) \delta = f ((\tau) \delta) (d \delta)$.
 - ③ $\llbracket c \rrbracket \in \llbracket T_c \rrbracket_{\emptyset}$ for all constants c .
- Base case $\llbracket \iota \rrbracket_{\Gamma}$ is parameter (must be monotone!).

Theorem

A function $f : (\Gamma) \rightarrow (T)$ is STLC-definable iff it satisfies all Kripke predicates, i.e., $f \in \llbracket T \rrbracket_{\Gamma}$ no matter how $\llbracket \iota \rrbracket$ is chosen.

- \Rightarrow If $t : (\Gamma \vdash T)$ then $\llbracket t \rrbracket \in \llbracket T \rrbracket_{\Gamma}$ (fundamental theorem of LR).
 \Leftarrow $\Sigma t : (\Gamma \vdash T)$. $\llbracket t \rrbracket = f$ is a Kripke predicate $f \in \llbracket T \rrbracket_{\Gamma}$ (term model).

Application: Refuting STLC-definability

Theorem

Boolean negation is not definable in STLC equipped with $\text{true}, \text{false} : \text{Bool}$.

- Proof 1: Look at possible normal forms of type $\text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$.
- Proof 2: Construct a Kripke countermodel.
 - Let $f \in \llbracket \text{Bool} \rrbracket_\Gamma$ iff f is constant true/false or a projection from (Γ) .
 - This is a Kripke model for STLC with $\text{true}, \text{false} : \text{Bool}$.
 - Negation is neither constant nor a projection.
- By the connection between STLC-definability and normalization, these two proofs are somewhat “the same”.

Theorem (Peirce not inhabited)

There is not closed STLC-term of type $((A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow A$ for some types A, B .

Proof: Exercise!

Syntax and Interpretation of STLC

- Variables: index $x : \text{Var } \Gamma \ T$ into the context.

$$\frac{}{v_0 : \text{Var } \Gamma . T \ T} \quad \frac{v_i : \text{Var } \Gamma \ T}{v_{i+1} : \text{Var } \Gamma . U \ T}$$

- Interpretation $\llbracket - \rrbracket : \text{Var } \Gamma \ T \rightarrow \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket T \rrbracket$ as projections.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket v_0 \rrbracket &= \pi_2 \\ \llbracket v_{i+1} \rrbracket &= \llbracket v_i \rrbracket \circ \pi_1 \end{aligned}$$

- Terms $t : \Gamma \vdash T$.

$$\frac{x : \text{Var } \Gamma \ T}{x : \Gamma \vdash T} \quad \frac{t : \Gamma . U \vdash T}{\lambda t : \Gamma \vdash U \Rightarrow T} \quad \frac{t : \Gamma \vdash U \Rightarrow T \quad u : \Gamma \vdash U}{t u : \Gamma \vdash T}$$

- Interpretation $\llbracket - \rrbracket : (\Gamma \vdash T) \rightarrow \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket T \rrbracket$.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \lambda t \rrbracket &= \text{curry } \llbracket t \rrbracket & \text{curry } f \ (\gamma, d) &= f \ \gamma \ d \\ \llbracket t u \rrbracket &= S \ (\llbracket t \rrbracket) \ (\llbracket u \rrbracket) & S \ f \ g \ \gamma &= f \ \gamma \ (g \ \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

Fundamental theorem

- Extension to environments: $\rho \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\Delta}$ for $\rho : (\Delta) \rightarrow (\Gamma)$.

$$\rho \in \llbracket \emptyset \rrbracket_{\Delta} \iff \text{true}$$

$$\rho \in \llbracket \Gamma.U \rrbracket_{\Delta} \iff \pi_1 \circ \rho \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\Delta} \text{ and } \pi_2 \circ \rho \in \llbracket U \rrbracket_{\Delta}$$

Monotonicity: If $\rho \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\Delta}$ and $\tau : \Delta' \rightarrow \Delta$ then $\rho \circ (\tau) \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\Delta'}$.

Theorem (Fundamental theorem of logical relations)

If $t : (\Gamma \vdash T)$ and $\rho \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\Delta}$ then $\llbracket t \rrbracket \circ \rho \in \llbracket T \rrbracket_{\Delta}$.

- Prove this first for $x : \text{Var } \Gamma \ T$ (easy).
- Then prove by induction on $t : \Gamma \vdash T$.
- Case $\lambda t : \Gamma \vdash U \Rightarrow T$: Show $\text{curry}(\llbracket t \rrbracket) \circ \rho \in \llbracket U \Rightarrow T \rrbracket_{\Delta}$.
(Needs monotonicity!)
- Case $t u : \Gamma \vdash T$: Show $(S(\llbracket t \rrbracket) \llbracket u \rrbracket)) \circ \rho \in \llbracket T \rrbracket_{\Delta}$.

Term model

- Define $f \in \llbracket \iota \rrbracket_{\Gamma}$ as $\Sigma t : (\Gamma \vdash \iota). \llbracket t \rrbracket = f$.

Theorem (Reflect/reify)

- 1 If $t : \Gamma \vdash T$ then $\llbracket t \rrbracket \in \llbracket T \rrbracket_{\Gamma}$ (reflect).
- 2 If $f \in \llbracket T \rrbracket_{\Gamma}$ then $\llbracket t \rrbracket = f$ for some $t : \Gamma \vdash T$ (reify).

- Prove simulateneously by induction on T .
- Discovery: does not introduce β -redexes!

Normal forms

- Define simultaneously $t : Ne \Gamma T$ (neutral) and $t : Nf \Gamma T$ (normal).

$$\frac{x : Var \Gamma T}{x : Ne \Gamma T} \qquad \frac{t : Ne \Gamma (U \Rightarrow T) \quad u : Nf \Gamma U}{tu : Ne \Gamma T}$$

$$\frac{t : Ne \Gamma T}{t : Nf \Gamma T} \qquad \frac{t : Nf \Gamma.U T}{\lambda t : Nf \Gamma (U \Rightarrow T)}$$

- Define $f \in \llbracket \iota \rrbracket_{\Gamma}$ as $\Sigma(t : Ne \Gamma \iota). \langle t \rangle = f$.

Theorem (Reflect/reify)

- If $t : Ne \Gamma T$ then $\langle t \rangle \in \llbracket T \rrbracket_{\Gamma}$ (reflect).*
- If $f \in \llbracket T \rrbracket_{\Gamma}$ then $\langle t \rangle = f$ for some $t : Nf \Gamma T$ (reify).*

Normalization by Evaluation

- Show $id_{(\Gamma)} \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\Gamma}$ (reflection!).
- Assume $t : \Gamma \vdash T$.
- By the fundamental theorem, $\llbracket t \rrbracket \circ id : \llbracket T \rrbracket_{\Gamma}$.
- By reification, $\llbracket t \rrbracket = \llbracket v \rrbracket$ for some $v : \text{Nf } \Gamma \ T$.

Conclusions

- Proof-relevant version of completeness proof of IPL.
- Implemented in Agda with a tiny bit of `--rewriting`.
<https://github.com/andreasabel/lambda-definability/tree/master/src-stlc>
- Extension to sum types:
 - Use Beth models to incorporate case trees.
 - Need lots of `--rewriting`.
- Aspired future work: Extension to dependent types.

Related Work

- A. (habil. 2013): “Type-assignment NbE” for dependent and polymorphic types.
- What I presented here are classic results:
 - Friedman / Plotkin (1970s/80s): Logical relations.
 - Catarina Coquand (1993): NbE for $STLC_{\sigma}$ using Kripke model
 - Jung, Tiuryn (TLCA 1993): More or less this formulation.
- Fiore, Simpson (TLCA 1999); Altenkirch, Dybjer, Hofmann, Scott (LICS 2001): Extension to disjoint sum types.
- Altenkirch Kaposi 2016: Extension to Π -types.