Weak Normalization for the Simply-Typed Lambda-Calculus in Twelf

Andreas Abel

ProgLog, Chalmers Göteborg, Sweden June 9, 2004

Slide 1

Work supported by: GKLI (DFG), TYPES & APPSEM-II (EU), CoVer (SFF)

Twelf

- Logical framework based on the Edinburgh LF (dependently-typed $\lambda\text{-calculus})$
- Propositions-as-types, derivations-as-objects
- Higher-order abstract syntax

- Terms: abstraction, application, user-def. constants
- Terms considered upto $\beta\eta$ -equality
- No user-def. reduction rules: all functions parametric
- Types: dependent function, user-def. type family constants
- Logic programming through proof search

Simply Typed λ -Calculus (STL)

• Syntax.

$$r, s, t, u ::= x \mid \lambda x.t \mid rs$$
 untyped terms $A, B, C ::= * \mid A \rightarrow B$ simple types $\Gamma ::= \diamond \mid \Gamma, x:A$ contexts

Slide 3

Slide 4

• Type assignment
$$\Gamma \vdash t : A$$
.
$$\frac{(x : A) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : A} \text{ of_var}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \ x : A \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x . t : A \to B} \text{ of_lam} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash r : A \to B}{\Gamma \vdash r s : B} \text{ of_app}$$

 $\bullet \ \ \text{Weak head reduction} \ t \longrightarrow_{\mathsf{w}} t'.$ $\frac{r \longrightarrow_{\mathsf{w}} r'}{(\lambda x.t) \, s \longrightarrow_{\mathsf{w}} [s/x] t} \ \text{beta} \qquad \frac{r \longrightarrow_{\mathsf{w}} r'}{r \, s \longrightarrow_{\mathsf{w}} r' \, s} \ \text{appl}$

Representation of Syntactic Objects in Twelf

• Representation of simple types.

• Representation of λ -terms.

• HOAS = represent object variables by framework variables.

```
twice = lam [f:tm] lam [x:tm] app f (app f x).
```

Slide 5

Slide 6

Representation of Judgements without Hypotheses

 \bullet Representation of weak head reduction.

```
-->w : tm -> tm -> type.

beta : app (lam T) S -->w T S.

appl : R -->w R' -> app R S -->w app R' S.
```

• Substitution in object theory is application of the framework.

Representation of Judgements with Hypotheses

• Representation of typing relation: Think in natural deduction trees.

Slide 7

• Typing assumption is represented as hypothetical judgement.

```
of : tm -> ty -> type.

of_lam : ({x:tm} x of A -> (T x) of B)

-> (lam [x:tm] T x) of (A => B).

of_app : R of (A => B) -> S of A -> (app R S) of B.
```

Weak Head Reduction is Closed under Substitution

- Lemma: If $t \longrightarrow_{\mathsf{w}} t'$ then $[u/y]t \longrightarrow_{\mathsf{w}} [u/y]t'$.
- Proof: By induction on the derivation of $t \longrightarrow_{\mathsf{w}} t'$.
 - Case $(\lambda x.t)$ $s \longrightarrow_{\mathsf{w}} [s/x]t$. W.l.o.g. $x \neq y$ and x not free in u. Then,

Slide 8

$$[u/y]((\lambda x.t) s) = (\lambda x.[u/y]t) [u/y]s$$

$$\longrightarrow_{\mathsf{w}} [[u/y]s/x][u/y]t = [u/y][s/x]t.$$

– Case $rs \longrightarrow_{\sf w} r's$ with $r \longrightarrow_{\sf w} r'$. By ind. hyp., $[u/y]r \longrightarrow_{\sf w} [u/y]r'$. Hence,

$$[u/y](r\,s) \qquad = \qquad ([u/y]r)\ ([u/y]s)$$

$$\longrightarrow_{\sf w} \qquad ([u/y]r')\ ([u/y]s) \qquad = \qquad [u/y](r'\,s)$$

L

Representation of Theorems and Proofs

• A theorem is represented as a functional relation.

Slide 9

• Its proof is represented as a logic program which implements the relation.

- Function must be total to represent a valid proof.
- This requires *termination* and *coverage* of all possible inputs.

A Formalized Proof of Weak Normalization for the STL

- Structure of a normalization proof:
 - 1. Define a relation $t \downarrow A$ which is closed under application.
 - 2. Show: If t: A then $t \downarrow A$.
 - 3. Show: If $t \downarrow A$ then t is normalizing.
- Slide 11
- Tait and crowd: $t \downarrow A$ is a *logical relation* (semantical).
- Joachimski and Matthes (2004): $t \Downarrow A$ is a finitary inductive definition.
- Forerunners: Goguen (1995), van Raamsdonk and Severi (1995).

Inductive Characterization of Weakly Normalizing Terms

- "De-vectorized" version of Joachimski and Matthes (2004)
- $\Gamma \vdash t \Downarrow A$: t is weakly normalizing of type A.
- $\Gamma \vdash t \downarrow^x A$: t is wn and neutral of type A.
- Slide 12

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (x\!:\!A) \in \Gamma \\ \Gamma \vdash x \downarrow^x A & & \frac{\Gamma \vdash r \downarrow^x A \to B & \Gamma \vdash s \Downarrow A}{\Gamma \vdash r s \downarrow^x B} \text{ wne_app} \\ & & \frac{\Gamma \vdash r \downarrow^x A}{\Gamma \vdash r \Downarrow A} \text{ wn_ne} \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x \colon\! A \vdash t \Downarrow B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x \colon\! t \Downarrow A \to B} \text{ wn_lam } \qquad \frac{r \longrightarrow_{\mathsf{W}} r' \qquad \Gamma \vdash r' \Downarrow A}{\Gamma \vdash r \Downarrow A} \text{ wn_exp}$$

Difficult: Closure under Application

- Lemma: Let $\mathcal{D} :: \Gamma \vdash s \Downarrow A$.
 - 1. If $\mathcal{E} :: \Gamma \vdash r \Downarrow A \to C$ then $\Gamma \vdash r s \Downarrow C$.
 - 2. If $\mathcal{E} :: \Gamma, x : A \vdash t \Downarrow C$, then $\Gamma \vdash [s/x]t \Downarrow C$.
 - 3. If $\mathcal{E}::\Gamma,x:A\vdash t\downarrow^x C$, then $\Gamma\vdash [s/x]t\Downarrow C$ and C is a subexpression of A.
 - 4. If $\mathcal{E} :: \Gamma, x : A \vdash t \downarrow^y C$ with $x \neq y$, then $\Gamma \vdash [s/x]t \downarrow^y C$.
- Proof: Simultaneously by main induction on type A (for part 3) and side induction on the derivation \mathcal{E} .
- Similar to Girard, Lafont and Taylor (1989): Lexicographic induction on highest degree (=type) of a redex and the number of redexes of highest degree.

Closure under Application and Substitution in Twelf

- Representation of lemma as 4 type families.
- ullet "C is a subexpression of A" expressed by %reduces C <= A.
- Mutual lexicographic termination order.

Slide 14

Soundness of Inductive Characterization

- Simple induction: $t \downarrow A$ for every typed term t : A.
- Lemma (Soundness): If $t \downarrow A$ then $t \longrightarrow^* v$ for some v.
- Requires characterization of valued and properties of reduction.

• Technical, but well understood.

Tait-Style Proofs in Twelf?

• Heart of Tait's proof is the rule:

$$\frac{\forall s. \;\; s \Downarrow A \; \Rightarrow \; r \, s \Downarrow B}{r \Downarrow A \to B}$$

• Literal encoding in Twelf...

Slide 16

$$({S:tm} \ wn \ S \ A \rightarrow wn \ (app \ R \ S) \ B) \rightarrow wn \ R \ (A \Rightarrow B).$$

- ... means something else:
 - if for a fresh term S for which we assume wn S A it holds that wn (app R S) B, then wn R (A \Rightarrow B).
- $\bullet\,$ Problem: Tait's infinitary premise is not expressible.

Strong Normalization in Twelf?

- Classical definition of *strongly normalizing*: no infinite reduction sequences.
- No good in a constructive setting.

Slide 17

• Inductive definition of *strongly normalizing*: wellfounded part of reduction relation.

$$\frac{\forall t'.\; t \longrightarrow t' \Rightarrow \operatorname{sn} t'}{\operatorname{sn} t},$$

• Suffers likewise from an infinitary premise.

Conclusion

- Normalization for a proof-theoretically weak object theory directly implementable in Twelf.
- Limits for normalization proofs: expressiveness of Twelf, termination checker.

- Conjecture 1: Infinitary premises not expressible in Twelf.
- Conjecture 2: Strong normalization not expressible in Twelf.
- Conjecture 3: Proof-theoretical strength of Twelf bounded by arithmetic.

Related Work

- Altenkirch (1993): SN for System F in LEGO.
- \bullet Filinski in 1990s: Feasibility of logical relations in Twelf. Not published.
- Berghofer and Nipkow: Joachimski and Matthes' proof in Isabelle.

Slide 19

• Watkins and Crary: Normalization for Concurrent LF in Twelf.