

Fixed Points of Type Constructors and Primitive Recursion

Andreas Abel

joint work with Ralph Matthes

CSL'04

Karpacz, Karkonoski National Park, Poland

September 21, 2004

Work supported by: GKLI (DFG), TYPES & APPSEM-II (EU), CoVer (SSF)

Regular Data Types

- Regular data types in Haskell:

```
data Nat    = Zero | Succ Nat
data List a = Nil  | Cons a (List a)
```

- Least fixed points of type transformers of kind $* \rightarrow *$:

```
NatF  :    *  $\rightarrow$  *
NatF  :=   $\lambda X. 1 + X$ 
```

```
Nat   :    *
Nat   :=   $\mu$  NatF
```

- Works also for List, since parameter a can be abstracted.

```
List  :    *  $\rightarrow$  *
List  :=   $\lambda A. \mu(\lambda X. 1 + A \times X)$ 
```

Nested Datatypes

- Non-regular or nested datatype: non-empty triangles.

```
data Tri a = Sg a | Cons a (Tri (e,a))
```

- Parameter (resp., element type) grows in recursion.

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} A & E & E & E \\ & A & E & E \\ & & A & E \\ & & & A \end{array}$$

- Fixed point of a type *constructor* of kind $(* \rightarrow *) \rightarrow (* \rightarrow *)$ (rank-2 type).

$\text{TriF} : (* \rightarrow *) \rightarrow * \rightarrow *$	$\text{Tri} : * \rightarrow *$
$\text{TriF} := \lambda X \lambda A. A + A \times X (E \times A)$	$\text{Tri} := \mu \text{TriF}$

- ... requires *polymorphic* recursion.
- Example: cutting the top row off a trapezium.

```
cut :: Tri(e,a) -> Tri a
```

```
cut (Sg (e,a) ) = Sg a
```

```
cut (Cons (e,a) r) = Cons a (cut r)
```

- In the recursive call, the argument `r` has type `Tri(e,(e,a))`.
- Does the recursive definition of `cut` have a solution? (Yes.)
- Instance of a *terminating* programming scheme.

- Description:
 - top-down pass: recursive descent into datastructure, adjusting parameters for the ...
 - ... bottom-up pass: composing the result
 - herein: each node treated generically, no access to current position or whole data structure
- Example: `Nat.add`, `List.map`, `List.foldr`
- Properties: termination, computational laws (fusion).
- Drawback: Result is always built from scratch, hence *predecessor* functions like `Nat.pred`, `List.tail` have *linear time* complexity.

- Primitive recursive functions: e.g., `Nat.factorial` or *redcoration* (Uustalu/Vene, 2002)

```
redec :: (List a -> b) -> List a -> List b
redec f Nil          = Nil
redec f (Cons a as) = Cons (f (Cons a as)) (redec f as)
```

- Like iteration, but access to *immediate sublist as* itself, not just to the result of `redec` for `as`.
- Hence, access to current position `l = (Cons a as)` on r.h.s.

- Iteration for rank-1 (= regular) datatypes can be simulated by β -reduction in System F (= $\lambda 2$).
- Primitive recursion can be simulated in an extension **Fix** (= $\lambda 2U$) of System F by positive fixed point (=retract) types. (Geuvers 1992)

$$\text{It} \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}$$
$$\text{Rec} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Fix}$$

- Primitive recursion *cannot* be simulated by β -reduction in System F. (Spławski/Urzyczyn 1999)

- Relabelling the diagonal of a triangular matrix: The new diagonal element is computed from its subtriangle by the *redecorating rule* $f :: \text{Tri } a \rightarrow b$.

```
redec :: (Tri a -> b) -> Tri a -> Tri b
redec f t@(Sg a ) = Sg (f t)
redec f t@(Cons a r) = Cons (f t) (redec (lift f) r)
```

- Herein, we need to lift the redecoration rule to a trapezium.

```
lift :: (Tri a -> b) -> Tri (e,a) -> (e,b)
lift f t = (aux t, f (cut t))
  where aux (Sg (e,a) ) = e
        aux (Cons (e,a) r) = e
```


- Iteration for rank- n datatypes can be simulated in System F^ω . (TYPES 02, FoSSaCS 03, forthcoming TCS)
- New result: primitive recursion can be simulated in Fix^ω .

$$\text{It}^\omega \longrightarrow F^\omega$$

$$\text{Rec}^\omega \longrightarrow \text{Fix}^\omega$$

- Fix^ω : System F^ω with fixed points of positive type constructors.
- Difficulty: What is positivity for higher ranks?
- Solution: Distinguish co-/contra-/invariant type constructors by polarity annotation in their kind (Steffen 1998).

Polarities p ::= + covariant
 | - contravariant
 | \circ invariant

Kinds κ ::= * | $p\kappa \rightarrow \kappa'$

Constructors A, B, F, G ::= X | $\lambda X^{p\kappa}. F$ | $F G$ | $A \rightarrow B$ | $\forall X^\kappa. A$ | $\text{fix } F$

Objects (terms) r, s, t ::= x | $\lambda x. t$ | $r s$

Contexts Δ ::= \diamond | $\Delta, x:A$ | $\Delta, X^{p\kappa}$

- Impredicative encodings (non-strictly positive):

$$\times : +* \rightarrow +* \rightarrow *$$

$$\times := \lambda X^{+*} \lambda Y^{+*} \forall Z^*. (X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow Z$$

$$+ : +* \rightarrow +* \rightarrow *$$

$$+ := \lambda X^{+*} \lambda Y^{+*} \forall Z^*. (X \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow Z$$

- Self-composition of *monotone* $X : +* \rightarrow *$ is *monotone* in X :

$$\lambda X^{+(+* \rightarrow *)} \lambda A^{+*}. X (X A) : +(+* \rightarrow *) \rightarrow (+* \rightarrow *)$$

- But: self-composition of *arbitrary* $X : \circ* \rightarrow *$ is *not* monotone in X :

$$\not\vdash \lambda X^{+(\circ* \rightarrow *)} \lambda A^{\circ*}. X (X A) : +(\circ* \rightarrow *) \rightarrow (\circ* \rightarrow *)$$

- Function space and quantification:

$$\frac{-\Delta \vdash A : * \quad \Delta \vdash B : *}{\Delta \vdash A \rightarrow B : *} \quad \frac{\Delta, X^{\circ\kappa} \vdash A : *}{\Delta \vdash \forall X^\kappa. A : *}$$

$-\Delta$ inverts all polarities in Δ .

- Positive fixed points:

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash F : +\kappa \rightarrow \kappa}{\Delta \vdash \text{fix } F : \kappa}$$

- Variables:

$$\frac{X^{p\kappa} \in \Delta \quad p \in \{+, \circ\}}{\Delta \vdash X : \kappa} \quad \frac{\Delta, X^{p\kappa} \vdash F : \kappa'}{\Delta \vdash \lambda X^{p\kappa}. F : p\kappa \rightarrow \kappa'}$$

- Application of *covariant* constructor:

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash F : +\kappa \rightarrow \kappa' \quad \Delta \vdash G : \kappa}{\Delta \vdash FG : \kappa'}$$

- Application of *contravariant* constructor:

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash F : -\kappa \rightarrow \kappa' \quad -\Delta \vdash G : \kappa}{\Delta \vdash FG : \kappa'}$$

- Application of *invariant* constructor:

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash F : \circ\kappa \rightarrow \kappa' \quad \circ\Delta \vdash G : \kappa}{\Delta \vdash FG : \kappa'}$$

$\circ\Delta$ erases all assumptions with positive or negative polarity from Δ .

- Fixed-point axiom.

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash F : +\kappa \rightarrow \kappa}{\Delta \vdash \text{fix } F = F (\text{fix } F) : \kappa}$$

- Computation: β -axiom.

$$\frac{\Delta, X^{p\kappa} \vdash F : \kappa' \quad p\Delta \vdash G : \kappa}{\Delta \vdash (\lambda X^{p\kappa}. F) G = [G/X]F : \kappa'}$$

- Extensionality: η -axiom.

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash F : p\kappa \rightarrow \kappa'}{\Delta \vdash \lambda X^{p\kappa}. F X = F : \kappa'} \quad X \notin \mathbf{FV}(F)$$

- Congruences for all type constructors.
- Symmetry and transitivity. (Reflexivity admissible.)

- Typing rules of simply typed lambda-calculus,
- plus quantification,

$$\frac{\Delta, X^{\circ\kappa} \vdash t : A}{\Delta \vdash t : \forall X^\kappa. A} \quad \frac{\Delta \vdash t : \forall X^\kappa. A \quad \circ\Delta \vdash F : \kappa}{\Delta \vdash t : [F/X]A}$$

- and type equality (includes fixed point (un)folding).

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash t : A \quad \Delta \vdash A = B : *}{\Delta \vdash t : B}$$

- Reduction: just β .

- Construct a model of untyped strongly normalizing terms.
- Types are interpreted as saturated set of SN terms, constructors as operators on these sets:

$$\begin{array}{ll} A : * & \Longrightarrow \quad \llbracket A \rrbracket \in \text{SAT} \\ F : +\kappa \rightarrow \kappa' & \Longrightarrow \quad \llbracket F \rrbracket \in \text{SAT}^\kappa \xrightarrow{+} \text{SAT}^{\kappa'} \end{array}$$

- Positive constructors are interpreted as monotone operators.
- Soundness: If $t : A$ then $t \in \llbracket A \rrbracket$.
- Entails that t cannot be reduced infinitely.

Mendler-Style Primitive Recursion

- Natural transformation $F \subseteq^{\vec{\kappa} \rightarrow *} G := \forall \vec{X}^{\vec{\kappa}}. F \vec{X} \rightarrow G \vec{X}$.

- Formation

$$\mu^\kappa : (\kappa \rightarrow \kappa) \rightarrow \kappa$$

- Introduction

$$\text{in}^\kappa : F(\mu^\kappa F) \subseteq^\kappa \mu^\kappa F$$

- Elimination

$$\frac{s : \forall X^\kappa. (X \subseteq^\kappa \mu^\kappa F) \rightarrow (X \subseteq^\kappa G) \rightarrow (F X \subseteq^\kappa G)}{\text{MRec}^\kappa s : \mu^\kappa F \subseteq^\kappa G}$$

- Reduction

$$\text{MRec } s (\text{in } t) \longrightarrow_\beta s \text{ id } (\text{MRec } s) t$$

- μ^κ , in^κ , and MRec^κ can be *defined* in Fix^ω ; the reduction rule is simulated.

- Conventional primitive recursion relies on monotonicity of type generating functor F .
- For rank 1: $\text{mon}F := \forall A \forall B. (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (F A \rightarrow F B)$.
- For higher ranks: several formulations of monotonicity.
- Basic monotonicity:
 $\text{mon}F := \forall A^\kappa \forall B^\kappa. (A \subseteq^\kappa B) \rightarrow (F A \subseteq^\kappa F B)$.
- But: $\lambda X. X \circ X$ not basic monotone.
- Hence no primitive recursion principle for truly nested datatypes like

```
data Bush a = Nil | Cons a (Bush (Bush a))
```
- Other notions of monotonicity: FoSSaCS 2003, TCS 200?.

Conclusion

Results:

- First formulation (!?) of primitive recursion for nested data types.
- First formulation (!?) of positive recursive types for higher ranks.
- Embedding of primitive recursion into fixed-point types (Geuvers 1992) works also for higher ranks.

Further work: conventional primitive recursion

Related Work

- Nested datatypes: Okasaki 1996, Hinze 1998, Bird/Paterson 1999, Altenkirch/Reus 1999
- Polarized higher-order subtyping: Steffen 1998, Duggan/Compagnoni 1998

Appendix: Semantics of Constructors

Let $U = \bigcup_{\kappa} \text{SAT}^{\kappa}$. For valuation $\theta \in \text{TyVar} \rightarrow U$, define

$\llbracket - \rrbracket_{\theta} \in \text{Constr} \rightarrow U$:

$$\llbracket X \rrbracket_{\theta} := \theta(X)$$

$$\llbracket \lambda X^{p\kappa}. F \rrbracket_{\theta} := \begin{cases} \mathcal{F} & \text{if } \mathcal{F} \in \text{SAT}^{\kappa} \xrightarrow{p} \text{SAT}^{\kappa'} \text{ for some } \kappa' \\ \text{undef.} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G} \in \text{SAT}^{\kappa}) := \llbracket F \rrbracket_{\theta[X \mapsto \mathcal{G}]}$

$$\llbracket F G \rrbracket_{\theta} := \llbracket F \rrbracket_{\theta}(\llbracket G \rrbracket_{\theta})$$

$$\llbracket \text{fix } F \rrbracket_{\theta} := \begin{cases} \text{lfp } \mathcal{F} & \text{if } \mathcal{F} \in \text{SAT}^{\kappa} \xrightarrow{+} \text{SAT}^{\kappa} \text{ for some } \kappa \\ \text{undef.} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{F} := \llbracket F \rrbracket_{\theta}$

- Extend interpretation to contexts Δ .
- Let $\theta \in \text{SAT}^\Delta$ (each variable mapped to semantical operator of correct kind).
- If $\Delta \vdash F : \kappa$ then $\llbracket F \rrbracket_\theta \in \text{SAT}^\kappa$ (welldefinedness).
- If $\Delta \vdash F = F' : \kappa$ then $\llbracket F \rrbracket_\theta = \llbracket F' \rrbracket_\theta$ (soundness of equality).