

Algorithmic Equality for the Calculus of Constructions

Andreas Abel

Department of Computer Science
Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich

Two Days on the Models of the Calculus of Constructions
INRIA pi.r2, Paris
14 February 2011

The Calculus of Constructions

- Full PTS (pure type system) over sorts $s ::= * \mid \square$.

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash * : \square} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x:U \vdash T : s}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi U(\lambda x T) : s}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:U \vdash t : T}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:U. t : T} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \Pi U T \quad \Gamma \vdash u : U}{\Gamma \vdash tu : T u}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T \quad "T = U"}{\Gamma \vdash t : U}$$

- Write $(x:U) \rightarrow T$ for $\Pi U \lambda x T$.

Metatheory of the Calculus of Constructions

- Deciding type checking.
- Main issue: deciding definitional equality.
- To handle η for unit types, need typed equality.

$$\Gamma \vdash t = t' : T$$

- Hard problem: *injectivity* of function type constructor.

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \vdash (x:U) \rightarrow T = (x:U') \rightarrow T' : * \\ \text{implies } \Gamma \vdash U = U' : * \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash T = T' : * \end{aligned}$$

Algorithmic Equality

- Bidirectional type-directed equality à la Harper/Pfenning (2005).

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash t \iff t' : 1} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x:U \vdash tx \iff t'x : T}{\Gamma \vdash t \iff t' : (x:U) \rightarrow T}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash n \iff n' : (x:U) \rightarrow T \quad \Gamma \vdash u \iff u' : U}{\Gamma \vdash nu \iff n'u' : T[u/x]}$$

- Type equality:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash U \iff U' \quad \Gamma, x:U \vdash T \iff T'}{\Gamma \vdash (x:U) \rightarrow T \iff (x:U') \rightarrow T'}$$

- Algorithmic equality yields injectivity.
- **Assymmetric choice** technical problem in meta-theory.
(cf. Untyped equality: need confluence to show normalization.)

Meta-Theoretic Results

NORM Normalization.

SR Subject reduction.

SALEQ Soundness of algorithmic equality.

$$\Gamma \vdash t \iff t' : T \text{ implies } \Gamma \vdash t = t' : T$$

CALEQ Completeness of algorithmic equality (opposite direction).

TALEQ Termination of algorithmic equality.

DECEQ Decidability of equality.

DEC Decidability of type checking.

DISCR Discrimination $0 \neq 1$.

INJ Injectivity.

CON Consistency.

Proving Meta-Theoretic Results

- Dependencies of Meta-Theorems:

SR			\Rightarrow	SALEQ		
NORM			\Rightarrow	TALEQ		
SALEQ	+	CALEQ	+	TALEQ	\Leftrightarrow	DECEQ
DEQEQ					\Rightarrow	DEC
CALEQ					\Rightarrow	DISCR
CALEQ	+	SALEQ			\Rightarrow	INJ
NORM	+	DISCR			\Rightarrow	CON

- Build a model that verifies **SR**, **NORM**, and **CALEQ**.
(cf. Goguen's TOS (1994): SR + NORM + CONFL)

Transitivity of Algorithmic Equality

- Krike-model for CALEQ ...

$\Gamma \vdash t = t' : T$ implies $(t, t') \in \llbracket T \rrbracket \Gamma$ implies $\Gamma \vdash t \iff t' : T$

- ... fails for lack of transitivity:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash n_1 \iff n_2 : (x:U) \rightarrow T \quad \Gamma \vdash u_1 \iff u_2 : U}{\Gamma \vdash n_1 u_1 \iff n_2 u_2 : T[u_1/x]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash n_2 \iff n_3 : (x:U') \rightarrow T' \quad \Gamma \vdash u_2 \iff u_3 : U'}{\Gamma \vdash n_2 u_2 \iff n_3 u_3 : T'[u_2/x]}$$

- $(x:U) \rightarrow T$ and $(x:U') \rightarrow T'$ are not **identical**!
- $\Gamma \vdash n_1 u_1 \iff n_3 u_3 : T[u_1/x]$ requires SALEQ and INJ.

Heterogeneous Equality

- Let each term carry its own type and context!

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_1 \vdash n_1 : (x:U_1) \rightarrow T_1 \iff \Gamma_2 \vdash n_2 : (x:U_2) \rightarrow T_2 \\ \Gamma_1 \vdash u_1 : U_1 \iff \Gamma_2 \vdash u_2 : U_2 \end{array}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash n_1 u_1 : T_1[u_1/x] \iff \Gamma_2 \vdash n_2 u_2 : T_2[u_2/x]}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_2 \vdash n_2 : (x:U_2) \rightarrow T_2 \iff \Gamma_3 \vdash n_3 : (x:U_3) \rightarrow T_3 \\ \Gamma_2 \vdash u_2 : U_2 \iff \Gamma_3 \vdash u_3 : U_3 \end{array}}{\Gamma_2 \vdash n_2 u_2 : T_2[u_2/x] \iff \Gamma_3 \vdash n_3 u_3 : T_3[u_3/x]}$$

- Transitivity now a trivial induction.

Pairing Expressions

- Consider pairs $p, q, P, Q ::= (p_1, p_2)$ of expressions.

Pair expr.	Meaning
$*$	$(*, *)$
\square	(\square, \square)
$(x:P) \rightarrow Q$	$((x:P_1) \rightarrow Q_1, (x:P_2) \rightarrow Q_2)$
x	(x, x)
$\lambda x:P. q$	$(\lambda x:P_1. q_1, \lambda x:P_2. q_2)$
qp	$(q_1 p_1, q_2 p_2)$
$q[p/x]$	$(q_1[p_1/x], q_2[p_2/x])$
$\Psi, x:P$	$((\Psi_1, x:P_1), (\Psi_2, x:P_2))$

- Pairs of contexts $\Psi ::= (\Psi_1, \Psi_2)$ where $\text{dom}(\Psi_1) = \text{dom}(\Psi_2)$.

Algorithmic-Equality in Pair-Notation

- $\downarrow t$ computes weak head normal form of t .
- Type-directed equality.

$$\frac{\Psi, x:P \vdash tx \iff t'x : \downarrow Q}{\Psi \vdash t \iff t' : (x:P) \rightarrow Q} \quad \frac{\Psi \vdash \downarrow t \iff \downarrow t' : _}{\Psi \vdash t \iff t' : (N, N')}$$

- Structural equality of neutrals.

$$\frac{\overline{\Psi \vdash x \iff x : \downarrow \Psi(x)}}{\Psi \vdash n \iff n' : (x:P) \rightarrow Q \quad \Psi \vdash t \iff t' : \downarrow P}{\Psi \vdash nt \iff n't' : \downarrow (Q[(t, t')/x])}$$

Algorithmic-Equality in Pair-Notation II

- Type-equality.

$$\frac{}{\Psi \vdash * \iff * : \square} \qquad \frac{\Psi \vdash N \iff N' : _}{\Psi \vdash N \iff N' : s}$$

$$\frac{\Psi \vdash \downarrow U \iff \downarrow U' : s \quad \Psi, x:(U, U') \vdash \downarrow T \iff \downarrow T' : s'}{\Psi \vdash (x:U) \rightarrow T \iff (x:U') \rightarrow T' : s'}$$

Equality in Pair-Notation

- Algorithmic equality judgements.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Psi \vdash n_1 \longleftrightarrow n_2 : P & \text{structural equality (neutrals)} \\ \Psi \vdash t_1 \iff t_2 : P & \text{type-directed equality} \\ \Psi \vdash T_1 \iff T_2 : s & \text{type equality} \end{array}$$

- Declarative equality judgement.

$$\Psi \vdash t_1 = t_2 : P \quad \text{heterogeneous definitional equality}$$

- Combination:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tm}(P)_\Psi &= \{(t, t') \mid \Psi_1 \vdash t : P_1 \text{ and } \Psi_2 \vdash t' : P_2\} \\ \overline{\text{eq}}(P)_\Psi &= \{(t, t') \mid \Psi \vdash t = t' : P \text{ and } \Psi \vdash t \iff t' : P\} \\ \underline{\text{eq}}(P)_\Psi &= \{(n, n') \mid \Psi \vdash n = n' : P \text{ and } \Psi \vdash n \longleftrightarrow n' : P\} \end{aligned}$$

Functions and Equality

- Application (function elimination) preserves neutrality:

$$\frac{q \in \underline{\text{eq}}((x:P) \rightarrow Q)_\Psi \quad p \in \overline{\text{eq}}(P)_\Psi}{qp \in \underline{\text{eq}}(Q[p/x])_\Psi}$$

- We write $\Phi \leq \Psi$ if Φ extends Ψ .
- Abstraction (function introduction): Let $q \in \text{tm}((x:P) \rightarrow Q)_\Psi$.

$$\frac{\forall \Phi \leq \Psi, p \in \underline{\text{eq}}(P)_\Phi. qp \in \overline{\text{eq}}(Q[p/x])_\Phi}{q \in \overline{\text{eq}}((x:P) \rightarrow Q)_\Psi}$$

- Proof: consider $\Phi = \Psi, x:P$ and $p = x$. From $q_1 x = q_2 x$ follows $\lambda x:P_1. q_1 x = \lambda x:P_2. q_2 x$, thus $q_1 = q_2$ by η .

Stratification

- CoC lets us easily distinguish *terms*, *types*, and *kinds*.
- Simple kinds $k, l ::= \diamond \mid * \mid k \rightarrow l$.
 - 1 P^\diamond means P is a type (containing terms).
 - 2 P^* means P is base kind $*$ (containing types).
 - 3 $P^{k \rightarrow l}$ means P is a higher kind $(x : Q^k) \rightarrow R^l$ (containing type constructors).

Expression	Interpretation	Set-Component
Term t	$(\text{whnf}(t), ())$	nothing
Type T	$(\text{whnf}(T), \mathcal{A})$	Kripke-PER \mathcal{A} on term interpretations
Ty.Constr. T	$(\text{whnf}(T), \mathcal{F})$	Operator \mathcal{F} on Kripke-PERs
Kind $*$	$(*, \llbracket * \rrbracket)$	Kripke-PER $\llbracket * \rrbracket$ on type interpretations
Higher kind K	$(\text{whnf}(K), \mathcal{K})$	Kripke-PER \mathcal{K} on operators

Applicative Structure

- Write $t \cdot u$ for weak head normalizing $t u$.
- Yields a partial applicative structure on weak head normal forms.
- Partial applicative structure on semantic values:

$$\begin{aligned}(t, ()) \cdot (u, \mathcal{G}) &= (t \cdot u, ()) \\ (t, \mathcal{F}) \cdot (u, \mathcal{G}) &= (t \cdot u, \mathcal{F}(u, \mathcal{G}))\end{aligned}$$

- Extension $d \cdot e$ to pairs $d = ((t_1, \mathcal{F}_1), (t_2, \mathcal{F}_2))$ of semantic values:

$$d \cdot e = (d_1 \cdot e_1, d_2 \cdot e_2)$$

PERs are Groupoids

- A Partial Equivalence Relation (PER) is a groupoid of pairs with:

$$\begin{aligned} (a, a')^{-1} &= (a', a) && \text{symmetry} \\ (a_1, a_2) \circ (a_2, a_3) &= (a_1, a_3) && \text{transitivity} \end{aligned}$$

- If \mathcal{A} is a groupoid, a *family* $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be a map from \mathcal{A} into some set \mathcal{S} with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(p^{-1}) &= \mathcal{F}(p) \\ \mathcal{F}(p \circ q) &= \mathcal{F}(p) = \mathcal{F}(q). \end{aligned}$$

Semantic Types

- If $T : K^k$ then the set-interpretation of T lives in $\langle k \rangle$.

$\langle \diamond \rangle$	$= \{()\}$	unit type
$\text{Per}(X)$	$= \mathcal{P}((\text{Exp} \times X)^2)$	PERs
$\text{KPer}(X)$	$= \text{Cxt}^2 \rightarrow \text{Per}(X)$	Kripke PERs
$\langle * \rangle$	$= \text{KPer}(\langle \diamond \rangle)$	KPERs of terms
$\langle k \rightarrow l \rangle$	$= (\text{Exp} \times \langle k \rangle)^2 \rightarrow \langle l \rangle$	Operators

- Raw semantics $\text{sem}(P)^k \in \text{KPer}(\langle k \rangle)$:

$$\text{sem}(P)_{\Psi}^k = \{((t, \mathcal{F}), (t', \mathcal{F}')) \mid (t, t') \in \text{tm}(P)_{\Psi} \text{ and } \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}' \in \langle k \rangle\}.$$

- The actual semantics of P will be subset of $\text{sem}(P)_{\Psi}^k$.

Kripke Function Space

- If $\mathcal{K} \in \text{KPer}(\langle k \rangle)$ and $\mathcal{L}_\Phi : \mathcal{K}_\Phi \rightarrow \text{Per}(\langle l \rangle)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\prod^{(x:P^k) \rightarrow Q^l} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{L})_\Psi &= \{f \in \text{sem}((x:P) \rightarrow Q)_\Psi^{k \rightarrow l} \mid \\ &\quad \forall \Phi \leq \Psi, g \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi. f \cdot g \in \mathcal{L}_\Phi(g)\} \\ &\in \text{Per}(\langle k \rightarrow l \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

- P^k realizes \mathcal{K} , written $\mathcal{P} \Vdash^k \mathcal{K}$, if for all Φ ,

$$\underline{P}_\Phi^k \subseteq \mathcal{K}_\Phi \subseteq \overline{P}_\Phi^k$$

- Goal: function space is realized.

$$\begin{aligned} &\underline{P}_\Psi^k \subseteq \mathcal{K}_\Psi \subseteq \overline{P}_\Psi^k \\ &\forall \Phi \leq \Psi, d \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi. \underline{Q}[d/x]_\Phi^l \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\Psi(d) \subseteq \overline{Q}[d/x]_\Phi^l \\ \hline &\underline{(x:P) \rightarrow Q}_\Psi^{k \rightarrow l} \subseteq (\prod^{(x:P^k) \rightarrow Q^l} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{L})_\Psi \subseteq \overline{(x:P) \rightarrow Q}_\Psi^{k \rightarrow l} \end{aligned}$$

Candidate Space

- Define \overline{P}^k and \underline{P}^k by induction on k .

$$\overline{P}_\Psi^\diamond = \{((t, ()), (t', ())) \mid (t, t') \in \overline{\text{eq}}(P)_\Psi\}$$

$$\underline{P}_\Psi^\diamond = \{((n, ()), (n', ())) \mid (n, n') \in \underline{\text{eq}}(P)_\Psi\}$$

$$\overline{P}_\Psi^* = \{((Q_1, \mathcal{A}), (Q_2, \mathcal{A})) \mid Q \in \overline{\text{eq}}(P)_\Psi \text{ and } Q \Vdash^\diamond \mathcal{A}\}$$

$$\underline{P}_\Psi^* = \{((Q_1, \mathcal{A}), (Q_2, \mathcal{A})) \mid Q \in \underline{\text{eq}}(P)_\Psi \text{ and } \mathcal{A} = \underline{Q}^\diamond\}$$

$$\overline{(x:P) \rightarrow Q^{k \rightarrow l}} = \prod \underline{P}^k (d \mapsto \overline{Q[d/x]^l})$$

$$\underline{(x:P) \rightarrow Q^{k \rightarrow l}} = \prod \overline{P}^k (d \mapsto \underline{Q[d/x]^l})$$

- Candidates \mathcal{K} are realized Kripke PERs,

$$\underline{P}_\Psi^k \subseteq \mathcal{K}_\Psi \subseteq \overline{P}_\Psi^k.$$

Consequences

- NORM + SR: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ then

$$\langle (t, t) \rangle \in \llbracket (T, T) \rrbracket_{(\Gamma, \Gamma)}$$

implying t has whnf v and $\Gamma \vdash t = v : T$.

- CALEG: If $\Psi \vdash p_1 = p_2 : P^k$ then

$$\langle p \rangle \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\Psi} \subseteq \overline{P}_{\Psi}^k$$

hence, $p \in \overline{\text{eq}}(P)_{\Psi}$ and $\Psi \vdash p_1 \hat{=} p_2 : P$.

- DECEQ, DEC, DISCR, INJ, and CON follow.

Conclusions

- Kripke-style *universal* model of CoC.
- One model for the whole meta-theory.
- Accomodates inductive types in $*$ and η for unit types.
- Types in $*$ can be defined by recursion (large/strong eliminations).
- Need induction on simple kinds.
- Future work: scale to predicative hierarchy and CIC.
- Related: Coquand/Gallier (1991), Werner (1992), Goguen (1994), Barras (1997).